Texas Education Agency 2016-17 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools Campus Name: CRYSTAL CITY H S Campus ID: 254901001 District Name: CRYSTAL CITY ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2016-17 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

		State I	District	Campus	African American	Hispanic		American Indian		Pacific		Special Ed		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent at	or Abo	ove Ap	proach	es Grade	Level (20	17) or Le	vel II S	atisfactor	y Stan	dard (201	16)						
End of Course English I	2017 2016		55% 51%	55% 51%	*	54% 51%	- *	- *	* *	-	- -	*	50% 45%	*	55% 53%	54% 49%	38% 47%
English II	2017 2016		50% 49%	50% 49%	* -	50% 49%	* -	* -	*	-	-	*	43% 47%	39% *	56% 61%	46% 36%	54% 56%
Algebra I	2017 2016		80% 67%	78% 63%	*	78% 63%	-	- *	*	- -	-	41% *	77% 55%	73% *	76% 66%	80% 59%	67% 50%
Biology	2017 2016		85% 76%	85% 76%	* -	84% 77%	-	* -	*	-	-	*	84% 67%	*	83% 71%	87% 79%	86% *
U.S. History	2017 2016		86% 80%	86% 80%	* -	85% 80%	* -	-	- *	-	- *	40% 44%	83% 81%	50% 57%	82% 79%	89% 81%	82% 100%
All Grades All Subjects	2017 2016		59% 56%	67% 60%	100% *	67% 60%	*	*	*	-	- *	24% 17%	63% 56%	44% 29%	68% 65%	67% 55%	61% 63%
Reading	2017 2016		55% 54%	53% 50%	*	52% 50%	*	*	*	-	-	* 13%	47% 46%	30% 18%	56% 57%	50% 44%	45% 52%
Mathematics	2017 2016		66% 57%	78% 63%	*	78% 63%	-	- *	*	-	-	41% *	77% 55%	73% *	76% 66%	80% 59%	67% 50%
Science	2017 2016		65% 61%	85% 76%	* -	84% 77%	-	* -	*	-	-	*	84% 67%	*	83% 71%	87% 79%	86% *
Social Studies	2017 2016		68% 60%	86% 80%	* -	85% 80%	* -	-	- *	-	- *	40% 44%	83% 81%	50% 57%	82% 79%	89% 81%	82% 100%
STAAR Percent at	Meets	Grade	Level (2017) or	Final Leve	el II Stand	dard (2	016)									
All Grades All Subjects	2017 2016		28% 21%	36% 22%	56% *	36% 22%	* *	* *	*	-	- *	8% 5%	29% 18%	10% 2%	39% 26%	33% 18%	27% 20%
Reading	2017 2016		27% 23%	29% 22%	*	29% 22%	*	* *	*	-	-	* 5%	23% 18%	8% 3%	34% 30%	25% 15%	28% 13%
Mathematics	2017 2016		31% 20%	39% 15%	*	39% 14%	-	- *	*	-	-	14% *	32% 11%	27% *	40% 17%	38% 13%	8% 20%
Science	2017 2016		28% 22%	45% 31%	* -	44% 32%	-	* -	*	-	-	*	39% 23%	*	48% 29%	41% 33%	43% *
Social Studies	2017 2016		32% 20%	46% 27%	* -	44% 27%	* -	-	- *	- -	- *	13% 19%	35% 23%	0% 0%	44% 24%	48% 33%	36% 44%
STAAR Percent at	Maste	rs Gra	de Leve	l (2017) d	or Level III	Advance	ed (201	6)									
All Grades All Subjects	2017 2016		8% 5%	7% 2%	11% *	7% 2%	*	*	*	- -	- *	4% 3%	5% 1%	1% 0%	6% 2%	7% 2%	7% 4%

		.				rican			American		Pacific		Special					
Reading	2017 2016	18%	9% 5%	ct Camp 2% 1%	•	erican H	3% 1%	* White	Indian * *	Asian *	Islander - -	Races - -	• Ed * 2%	2% 0%	ELL 0% 0%	Female 3% 2%	Male 2% 1%	Migran 3% 0%
Mathematics	2017 2016		11% 6%	13% 3%	-	*	13% 3%	-	- *	*	-	-	9% *	13% 3%	7% *	11% 5%	15% 2%	8% 0%
Science	2017 2016		3% 3%	5% 2%		* -	5% 2%	-	* -	*	-	-	*	8% 0%	*	3% 0%	6% 4%	14% *
Social Studies	2017 2016		11% 6%	14% 5%	-	* -	13% 5%	* -	-	- *	-	- *	13% 13%	6% 3%	0% 0%	14% 2%	15% 9%	9% 22%
AR Participati	on (Al	Grad	es)															
All Tests			2017 2016	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% *	100% 100%		100 ⁰ 100 ⁰					0% 0%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%
Reading			2017 2016	99% 99%	99% 100%	99% 100%	*	99% 100%	* *	*	-				0% 0%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%
Mathematics			2017 2016	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	*	100% 99%		*	-				0% 0%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%
Science		_	2017 2016	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	* -	100% 99%	- * 	*	-				0% *	100% 100%	100% 98%	100% *
Social Studies		2	2017	98%	100%	100%	*	100%	* -	-	-	- 1	00% 10	0% 10	0%	100%	100%	100%

STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)

100%

Reading Tests		/	/			/							/				
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2017	98%	98%	98%	-	98%	-	-	-	-	-	98%	96%	-	100%	96%	100%
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2017	13%	5%	9%	-	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9%	12%	-	7%	11%	33%
Accommodations	2017	73%	85%	84%	-	84%	-	-	-	-	-	84%	76%	-	87%	82%	67%
% STAAR Alternate 2	2017	12%	9%	5%	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	8%	-	7%	4%	0%
% of Non-Participants	2017	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	4%	-	0%	4%	0%
Mathematics Tests																	
Mathematics Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2017	99%	99%	100%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	*
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations	2017 2017	99% 12%	99% 3%	100% 5%	-	100% 5%	-	-	-	-	-	100% 5%	100% 7%	-	100% 0%	100% 7%	*
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No					-		-	- -	- -	- -	-						* *
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2017	12%	3%	5%	- - -	5%	-	- - -	- - -	-	-	5%	7%	-	0%	7%	* * *

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

2016

98%

99%

?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2016-17 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	All Student	African sAmericar	nHispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Econ	•	ELL (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State State Target Reading Mathematics	60% N Y	60%	60% N Y	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60% N Y	60% N	60% N	n/a n/a	0 3	5 3	0 100

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2=15&_debug=0&single=N&title=2016-17+Federal+Report+Card&_p... 2/6

Percent

All Student	African sAmericar	1Hispanic	White			Pacific	More	Econ						
											́n/a	0	0	
Y		Y						Y			n/a	3	3	100
Y		Y						Y			n/a	3	3	100
												9	14	64
91%	91%	91%	91%					91%	91%	91%				
Ν		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν			n/a			
Ν		Ν		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν			n/a			
95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Y		Y						Y	Y	n/a	Y	5	5	100
Y		Y						Y		n/a				100
												8	8	100
rget: Se	e Reason Co	odes)												
Y		Y						Y		n/a		3	3	100
b		b						С						
												3	3	100
n Alterna	tive Assess	ments												
,														
,														
n/a														
,														
n/a														
	Student Y Y 91% N N 95% Y Y rget: See Y b	Students American Y Y 91% 91% N 95% 95% Y Y rget: See Reason Co Y b n Alternative Assess n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	Students American Hispanic Y Y 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% N N 95% 95% 95% Y Y Y trget: See Reason Codes) Y Y b b Alternative Assessments n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	Y Y Y Y 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% N N 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% Y Y Y Y rget: See Reason Codes) Y Y b b n/atternative Assessments n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	Students American Hispanic White Indian Y Y 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% N N n/a 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% Y Y Y Y Y Y State Reason Codes) Y Y b b Alternative Assessments n/a n/a n/a n/a	StudentsAmericanHispanic White Indian Asian Y Y Y 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% N N n/a N N n/a 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% Y Y Y Y rget: See Reason Codes) Y Y Y b b	All Students American HispanicAmerican WhitePacific IndianY YY Y91% N91% N91% N/an/a91% N91% Nn/an/a91% N91% Nn/an/a95% Y Y95% Y95%95%95% Y Y95%95%95%95% Y YY YYrget: See Reason Codes) Y bY bY Sn/a n/a n/aNNn/a n/a n/aN	All Students American HispanicAmerican WhitePacific More IndianMore AsianY YY Y91% N91% Y91% Nn/an/an/a91% N91% N91% Nn/an/an/aNNNn/an/an/an/a95% 95% Y Y95% Y95%95%95%95%95% Y YYYYYrget: bSee Reason Codes) Y bY YYYn/a n/a n/aNNNNn/a n/a n/aNYYn/a n/aNYYn/a n/aNYYn/aYYn/a n/aYYn/a n/aNYn/a n/aYYNYY	StudentsAmericanHispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Disadv Y Y Y Y 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% n/a N N n/a n/a N N n/a n/a 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% Y Y Y rget: See Reason Codes) Y Y Y Y Y n/a n/a Y n/a n/a Y	All Atternative AssessmentsAmerican WhitePacific IndianMore AsianEcon SpecialY YY YY YY YY Y91% 91%91% N91% N/a1/a n/a1/a n/a1/a n/a91% N N91% N91% N/a91% n/a91% n/a91% n/a95% 95% Y Y95% Y Y95% Y Y95% Y Y95% Y Y95% Y Y95% Y b95% Y Y95% Y Y95% Y Y95% Y Y95% Y Yrget: See Reason Codes) Y bY Y YY Y YY Y YY Y Yn/a n/a n/an/an/a1n/a n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111n/a n/a111 <td>All Students American HispanicAmerican WhitePacific IndianMore Asian Islander RacesEcon DisadvSpecial (Current & EdYYYYYYYYYY91%91%91%91%NNn/an/an/aNNn/an/an/aNNNn/an/a95%95%95%95%95%YYYYYYNNNn/an/an/an/aYYYYYYYYYYYNNNYYYYYYYYYYYYNNNNNN</td> <td>All AfricanAmerican IndianPacific MoreMore Econ DisadvEcon Ed Monitored) +YYY<td>All AfricanAmerican IndianPacific AsianMore Islander RacesEcon Special (Current & ELL Monitored)+ Met n/aYYYYNN/A0YYYYN/A0YYYYN/A391%91%91%91%91%91%NNN/An/An/An/ANNNN/An/An/ANNNN/An/An/AN95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYNN/AN95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYN/ANN/A95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYN/ANN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN<t< td=""><td>All African American Ispanic Mite White American Asian Pacific More Races Disadv Ed Monitored) + Met Eligible Y Y Y Y Y Y N N N/a 3 3 Y Y Y Y Y Y N N 3 3 91% 91% 91% 91% 10% n/a n/a N Y N N 9 14 91% 91% 91% 91% 10% n/a n/a n/a N Y N N 14 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 11/a N N 1/a 3</td></t<></td></td>	All Students American HispanicAmerican WhitePacific IndianMore Asian Islander RacesEcon DisadvSpecial (Current & EdYYYYYYYYYY91%91%91%91%NNn/an/an/aNNn/an/an/aNNNn/an/a95%95%95%95%95%YYYYYYNNNn/an/an/an/aYYYYYYYYYYYNNNYYYYYYYYYYYYNNNNNN	All AfricanAmerican IndianPacific MoreMore Econ DisadvEcon Ed Monitored) +YYY <td>All AfricanAmerican IndianPacific AsianMore Islander RacesEcon Special (Current & ELL Monitored)+ Met n/aYYYYNN/A0YYYYN/A0YYYYN/A391%91%91%91%91%91%NNN/An/An/An/ANNNN/An/An/ANNNN/An/An/AN95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYNN/AN95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYN/ANN/A95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYN/ANN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN<t< td=""><td>All African American Ispanic Mite White American Asian Pacific More Races Disadv Ed Monitored) + Met Eligible Y Y Y Y Y Y N N N/a 3 3 Y Y Y Y Y Y N N 3 3 91% 91% 91% 91% 10% n/a n/a N Y N N 9 14 91% 91% 91% 91% 10% n/a n/a n/a N Y N N 14 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 11/a N N 1/a 3</td></t<></td>	All AfricanAmerican IndianPacific AsianMore Islander RacesEcon Special (Current & ELL Monitored)+ Met n/aYYYYNN/A0YYYYN/A0YYYYN/A391%91%91%91%91%91%NNN/An/An/An/ANNNN/An/An/ANNNN/An/An/AN95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYNN/AN95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYN/ANN/A95%95%95%95%95%95%YYYN/ANN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/AN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNN/ANNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN <t< td=""><td>All African American Ispanic Mite White American Asian Pacific More Races Disadv Ed Monitored) + Met Eligible Y Y Y Y Y Y N N N/a 3 3 Y Y Y Y Y Y N N 3 3 91% 91% 91% 91% 10% n/a n/a N Y N N 9 14 91% 91% 91% 91% 10% n/a n/a n/a N Y N N 14 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 11/a N N 1/a 3</td></t<>	All African American Ispanic Mite White American Asian Pacific More Races Disadv Ed Monitored) + Met Eligible Y Y Y Y Y Y N N N/a 3 3 Y Y Y Y Y Y N N 3 3 91% 91% 91% 91% 10% n/a n/a N Y N N 9 14 91% 91% 91% 91% 10% n/a n/a n/a N Y N N 14 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 11/a N N 1/a 3

+ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

*** Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88.5% d = Five-year Graduation Rate T Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria. d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 91%

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL (Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading												
# at Approaches Grade Level	221	*	216	*	*	*	-	-	124	*	10	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	406	*	399	*	*	*	-	-	259	*	32	30
% at Approaches Grade	54%	*	54%	*	*	*	-	-	48%	*	31%	n/a
Level Standard												
Mathematics											_	
# at Approaches Grade Level	114	*	111	-	-	*	-	-	77	9	7	n/a
Standard		*				*			400	40	10	40
Total Tests	145	*	142	-	-	*	-	-	100	19	10	10
% at Approaches Grade	79%	*	78%	-	-	×	-	-	77%	47%	70%	n/a
Level Standard												
Writing												
# at Approaches Grade Level	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Approaches Grade	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Level Standard Science												
# at Approaches Grade Level	99	*	97		*				58	*	*	n/a
Standard	33		51	-		-	-	-	50			n/a
Total Tests	115	*	113	_	*	-	_	-	69	*	*	*
% at Approaches Grade	86%	*	86%	_	*	-	-	-	84%	*	*	n/a
Level Standard	0070		0070						0470			n/a
Social Studies												
# at Approaches Grade Level	114	*	111	*	-	-	-	-	62	5	*	n/a
Standard										-		
Total Tests	131	*	128	*	-	-	-	-	72	14	*	*
% at Approaches Grade	87%	*	87%	*	-	-	-	-	86%	36%	*	n/a
Level Standard												

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Participation Rates			-									
Reading: 2016-2017 Assessments												
Number Participating	441	*	433	*	*	*	-	-	281	42	n/a	37
Total Students	444	*	436	*	*	*	-	-	284	43	n/a	37
Participation Rate	99%	*	99%	*	*	*	-	-	99%	98%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2016-2017 Assessm	ents											
Number Participating	160	*	156	-	-	*	-	-	111	22	n/a	15
Total Students	160	*	156	-	-	*	-	-	111	22	n/a	15
Participation Rate	100%	*	100%	-	-	*	-	-	100%	100%	n/a	100%

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduat	ion Rate (G	r 9-12): Clas	s of 2016									
Number Graduated	116	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	64	7	6	n/a
Total in Class	131	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	77	7	6	6
Graduation Rate	88.5%	-	88.5%	*	-	-	-	-	83.1%	100.0%	100.0%	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduat	ion Rate (G	r 9-12): Clas	s of 2015									
Number Graduated	89	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	54	4	*	n/a
Total in Class	110	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	68	6	*	*
Graduation Rate	80.9%	-	80.7%	*	-	-	-	-	79.4%	66.7%	*	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate (C	Gr 9-12): Cla	ss of 2015										
Number Graduated	93	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	55	4	*	n/a
Total in Class	110	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	68	6	*	*
Graduation Rate	84.5%	-	84.4%	*	-	-	-	-	80.9%	66.7%	*	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a
Mathematics	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2017 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading and mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on Improvement Required status and reading/mathematics performance in the selected student groups. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the next lowest achieving campuses based on Improvement Required status and reading/mathematics performance in the selected student groups.

Priority School Identification:	Priority School Reason: N/A
No	Focus School Reason: N/A
Focus School Identification: No)

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and mathematics performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percentage of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percentage of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	1.0	2.5%	0.7%	1.2%
Bachelors	28.6	72.2%	88.1%	74.5%
Masters	9.0	22.7%	10.5%	23.6%
Doctorate	1.0	2.5%	0.7%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

Report Not Required

Source: TEA Division of Educator Preparation and Program Accountability

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percentage of total graduates during the 2013-14 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2014-15 academic year.

Year Enrolled in Higher Education	Campus	District	State
2014-15	56.7%	56.7%	56.1%
2013-14	58.7%	58.7%	57.5%

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
	-	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment